FIXATION OF SELLING PRICE OF CONTROLLED ARTICLES

438. **Mr. M. O. Thomakutty** *(Commerce and Industry):* Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) is there any understanding between the Cochin Government and the Central Government regarding the fixation of selling price of articles allotted to the State by quota system;

(*b*) whether the Central Government have been informed of the double rate charged for sugar sold on special permits?

Mr. P. Govinda Menon *(Minister for Food and Education):*

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

REMOVAL OF ENCROACHMENT—KUNNAMKULAM VILLAGE

439. **Mr. Paramel Ipe Ipe** *(Kunnamkulam):* Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) what is the nature of the encroachment made on Sy. No. 1085/1 of Kunnamkulam Village;

(*b*) how many Officers of the Revenue Department have inspected this spot from 1106 onwards with a view to get the encroachment removed;

(*c*) whether any of them has made any report to the effect that there is no encroachment;

(*d*) if so, who that officer is and what is his report;

(*e*) whether any penalty has been collected from the encroacher;

(*f*) if so, on what dates and what amounts;

(*g*) what is the total area encroached upon;

(*h*) whether there was not an order for removal of encroachment;

(*i*) what is the gist of that order and whether that order has been complied with?

Mr. C. R. Iyyunni *(Minister for Revenue):*

(*a*) The encroachment consisted of portions of a mattom and overhanging bamboos.

(*b*) Four Tahsildars, the present Diwan Peishkar and a large number of village officers and Revenue Inspectors.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Mr. G. Krishna Ayyar. His report was that the so-called encroachment was only a margin of demarcation as contemplated in note to Article 46, Land Revenue Manual.

(*e*) Yes.

(*f*) Rs. 3—12—0 on 32—12—09.
Rs. 5—10—0 on 32—12—19.
Rs. 0— 7—0 on 30— 8—21.

(*g*) About 2¼ cents.

(*h*) Yes.

(*i*) The recommendation by the Tahsildar was to the effect that encroachment should be removed. That recommendation was approved by the Diwan Peishkar at the first instance. That order was subsequently modified and it was ordered after personal inspection by the Diwan Peishkar that only the overhanging bamboos which obstructed passage need be removed. There has been compliance with that order.

BOAT JETTY—PALLIPPURAM VILLAGE

440. മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എ. രാഷവൻ:

താഴെ എഴുന്ന ചോദ്യങ്ങൾക്ക് ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട ഗവൺമെണ്ടിൽനിന്നും സദയം മറുപടി പറയുമോ.—

(എ) പള്ളിപ്പുറം വില്ലേജ് പൊറായി ദേശത്തു ബോട്ടുജെട്ടിയിൽ (canal) യാത്രക്കാർക്കുള്ള വെയിറ്റിങ്ങ് ഷെഡ്ഡ് പണിതിട്ട് ഇപ്പോൾ എത്രകാലമായി;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly